

## NBT Consult Submissions

---

**From:** Dean Wharton <campaigns@good.do>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 11 April 2018 9:19 AM  
**To:** NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris  
**Subject:** Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

I work in radiation therapy. 100 years ago many people believed ionising radiation was harmless, beneficial even. But even back then, there were already pointers that indicated that it was improperly understood, as witnessed by the effect it had on the pioneers who worked in the field. Over the years it has become a helpful tool, particularly in my field, radiation oncology, where treatment for cancer with less aggressive means is still proving elusive. The way we handle ionising radiation is so different from it's early years. We have no overwhelming confidence in its benefits, we are aware of it's dangers, we have seen it's negative effects. The safety aspects around it's use are appropriately extensive. Our confidence in this new science has been tamed.

We don't learn from our mistakes. We have this new science of genetic modification. Altering DNA at the level of individual genes. This isn't like grafting two plants together or breeding better livestock through reproduction, though it's explained as no different to what farmers, gardeners and breeders have undertaken for centuries. This is tampering with the building blocks of life. We have absolutely no idea what will result. Benefits? Possibly. Could a downside, a negative reaction be caused? Also possibly. If this sort of tampering has to go ahead, then it should be done with strict control, strict surveillance. Not left to the market to decide and survey.

Safety assessment and approval needs to be in place. If not, then we really don't learn by our mistakes. The dangers of genetic modification are as unknown to us as the dangers of ionising radiation were 100 years ago. I urge you to ensure that genetically engineered products are effectively policed and consumers are given the choice to avoid the dangers if possible.

Yours sincerely, Dean Wharton Bracken Ridge, Queensland, 4017, Australia

\_\_\_\_\_ This email was sent by Dean Wharton via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Dean provided an email address (dwharton@mail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Dean Wharton at dwharton@mail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [www.dogooder.co](http://www.dogooder.co) To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: [www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html](http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html)

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

## NBT Consult Submissions

---

**From:** Derek Walter <campaigns@good.do>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 11 April 2018 9:30 AM  
**To:** NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris  
**Subject:** Submission: Consultation paper on food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

I understand that, under the current proposals, Australia would be the first country in the world to deregulate a range of new genetic modification (GM) techniques in animals, plants and microbes. The resulting organisms would enter our food chain and our environment with no safety testing and no labelling. The risks are enormous and the results could be catastrophic.

Reviews commissioned by the Austrian and Norwegian governments concluded that not enough is known about the risks these new GM techniques pose. They recommended that products derived from them be subject to comprehensive case-by-case risk assessments.

The Federal Government should fully regulate all use of GM in Australia.

Yours sincerely,

Derek Walter South Hobart, Tasmania

\_\_\_\_\_ This email was sent by Derek Walter via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Derek provided an email address (derekwalter@bigpond.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Derek Walter at derekwalter@bigpond.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [www.dogooder.co](http://www.dogooder.co) To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: [www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html](http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html)

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

## NBT Consult Submissions

---

**From:** Sue Hamill <campaigns@good.do>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 11 April 2018 9:43 AM  
**To:** NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris  
**Subject:** Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

GMOs and their crops have NEVER been proved safe.

Below are the findings of Professor Emeritus Dr Don Huber, an award winning, internationally recognised scientist of plant pathology at Purdue University. He has spent 50 years doing agriculture research covering areas such as epidemiology and control of soil born plant pathogens specific with emphasis on microbiology, ecology, and biological controls and much more. In 2014, he and a team of fellow researchers delved deeply looking for evidence that GMO food is safe. I quote: "There are zero peer reviewed scientific papers establishing the safety of the GMO or of the products they are engineered to produce. There are none. There are many papers, peer reviewed, showing how harmful, showing health effects." He went on to say that you cannot talk about GMOs without talking about the chemicals they are bred to tolerate. Glyphosate (a main ingredient in Roundup) was patented by Monsanto as an antibiotic. This product removes beneficial bacteria that help us access the vitamins and minerals in our food and stop E coli and others from developing in our gut. They disrupt beneficial organisms in intestinal microflora like lactobacillus.

Yours sincerely, Sue Hamill

\_\_\_\_\_ This email was sent by Sue Hamill via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Sue provided an email address (sue.hamill0219@outlook.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Sue Hamill at sue.hamill0219@outlook.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [www.dogooder.co](http://www.dogooder.co) To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: [www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html](http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html)

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

## NBT Consult Submissions

---

**From:** Matthew Swale <campaigns@good.do>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 11 April 2018 10:48 AM  
**To:** NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris  
**Subject:** Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Hello FSANZ,

As a 6th generation Australian, I find it repugnant that the biotechnology industry are posed to deregulate GMOs. I do not consent to being used as a guinea pig in their experiments in our food chain. It is completely unacceptable to expose the public to risk without any warnings. We could be causing irreversible damage to the environment like we have with antibiotics and Super-bugs. Further, everywhere I read about GMOs in India and other places, it is of no advantage to food output or profits. We have been eating for countless generations without the necessity of intervene in a laboratory. I beg you reconsider this proposal for the sake of our children.

Yours sincerely, Matthew Swale

\_\_\_\_\_ This email was sent by Matthew Swale via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Matthew provided an email address (mattswale@yahoo.com.au) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Matthew Swale at mattswale@yahoo.com.au.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [www.dogooder.co](http://www.dogooder.co) To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: [www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html](http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html)

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

## NBT Consult Submissions

---

**From:** Sarah Miller <campaigns@good.do>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 11 April 2018 11:04 AM  
**To:** NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris  
**Subject:** Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

It is incomprehensible to me that our government could be satisfied that there are no risks with GM foods and techniques.,

Genetic modification techniques pose unique risks and all new genetic modification techniques should be assessed for safety before being allowed in our food. They should also be labelled so we are fully informed. This includes gene editing, GM rootstock grafting, cisgenesis, intragenesis RNA interference and null segregants. I do my best to avoid all genetically modified foods, but i need labeling to know how to do this.

Reviews commissioned by the Austrian and Norwegian governments concluded that not enough is known about the risks these new GM techniques pose. They recommended that products derived from them require comprehensive case-by-case risk assessments.

Why would we put our people at risks? What are the benefits that so outweigh public health and well being?

Please ensure that new breeding techniques and GM are given proper safety assessments on case by case basis and proper labeling.

Thank you

Yours sincerely, Sarah Miller

\_\_\_\_\_ This email was sent by Sarah Miller via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Sarah provided an email address (sarsie7@tpg.com.au) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Sarah Miller at sarsie7@tpg.com.au.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [www.dogooder.co](http://www.dogooder.co) To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: [www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html](http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html)

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

## NBT Consult Submissions

---

**From:** Marilyn Gibbons <campaigns@good.do>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 11 April 2018 11:07 AM  
**To:** NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris  
**Subject:** Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Genetic modification techniques pose unique risks and all new genetic modification techniques should be assessed for safety before being allowed in our food. They should also be labelled so we are fully informed. This includes gene editing, GM rootstock grafting, cisgenesis, intragenesis RNA interference and null segregants. It is vital to the public interest in New Zealand and Australia that we do not allow regulations to be altered to allow CRISPR products to escape the Gene Technology Act 2000 which defines gene technology as “any technique for the modification of genes or other genetic material”. That includes CRISPR.

Far from dropping regulation, CRISPR, like other GM foods should be subject to improved pre-market safety testing. New Zealand has built its economy as a food exporting nation, and a clean and green tourism destination. To back that up and as a point of differentiation it serves us to have a best practice world class food testing, monitoring and labelling system.

Yours sincerely, Marilyn Gibbons

---

This email was sent by Marilyn Gibbons via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Marilyn provided an email address (marilyndgibbons@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Marilyn Gibbons at marilyndgibbons@gmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [www.dogooder.co](http://www.dogooder.co) To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: [www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html](http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html)

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

## NBT Consult Submissions

---

**From:** Kay Morgan <campaigns@good.do>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 11 April 2018 11:38 AM  
**To:** NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris  
**Subject:** Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Any move to introduce novel compounds into the food chain without labelling means that it will be impossible to trace the origin of adverse health reactions if they occur.

Yours sincerely Kay Morgan

\_\_\_\_\_ This email was sent by Kay Morgan via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Kay provided an email address (kmtm108@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Kay Morgan at kmtm108@gmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [www.dogooder.co](http://www.dogooder.co) To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: [www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html](http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html)

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

## NBT Consult Submissions

---

**From:** Andrea Griffith <campaigns@good.do>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 11 April 2018 12:09 PM  
**To:** NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris  
**Subject:** Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

To Whom It May Concern

I am totally against “new breeding techniques”. I am for foods as developed by Mother Earth, not Mankind who has been systematically ruining the Earth, our home, and our own health in the name of Money.

Stop worshipping the Great God Mammon and go back to the Christians you claim to be – those who honour their fellow man (and woman, and anything in between). And for any Muslim Members we may have, I do NOT believe Allah wills us to wreck His planet to line the pockets of the few.

Yours sincerely, Andrea Griffith

\_\_\_\_\_ This email was sent by Andrea Griffith via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Andrea provided an email address (andigriff21@hotmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Andrea Griffith at andigriff21@hotmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [www.dogooder.co](http://www.dogooder.co) To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: [www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html](http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html)

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.



## NBT Consult Submissions

---

**From:** Sasha Kelly-Thatcher <campaigns@good.do>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 11 April 2018 12:10 PM  
**To:** NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris  
**Subject:** Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Genetic modification techniques pose unique risks and all new genetic modification techniques should be assessed for safety before being allowed in our food. They should also be labelled so we are fully informed. This includes gene editing, GM rootstock grafting, cisgenesis, intragenesis RNA interference and null segregants. CRISPR was only invented 5 years ago . Reviews commissioned by the Austrian and Norwegian governments concluded that not enough is known about the risks (e.g. off target effects) posed by new GM techniques such as CRISPR. They recommended that products derived from these techniques require comprehensive case-by-case risk assessments. Gene editing techniques cause DNA double strand breaks and can be used sequentially to make dramatic differences to DNA. They are also prone to additional unexpected mutations. The risks associated with these techniques warrant pre-market safety assessment and approval. RNA interference is a GM technique and can result in heritable genetic changes so must also be assessed for safety before being used in our food. GM plants, animals and microbes all pose unique risks so assessing the GM production process is appropriate. Subject:

Yours sincerely, Sasha Kelly-Thatcher

---

This email was sent by Sasha Kelly-Thatcher via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Sasha provided an email address (sashakelly-thatcher@outlook.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Sasha Kelly-Thatcher at sashakelly-thatcher@outlook.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [www.dogooder.co](http://www.dogooder.co) To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: [www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html](http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html)

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

## NBT Consult Submissions

---

**From:** Pat Grainger <campaigns@good.do>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 11 April 2018 2:13 PM  
**To:** NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris  
**Subject:** Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

We should have serious concerns about rushing into newgenetic technologies before it can be determined that they are safe.

It must be made imperative, despite pressure from the GM industry, that all modified products are proved safe before they are presented to the public. I urge catcalls necessary caution.

Yours sincerely, Pat Grainger Port Melbourne, Victoria, 3207, Australia

\_\_\_\_\_ This email was sent by Pat Grainger via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Pat provided an email address (patgrainger@iinet.net.au) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Pat Grainger at patgrainger@iinet.net.au.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [www.dogooder.co](http://www.dogooder.co) To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: [www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html](http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html)

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

## NBT Consult Submissions

---

**From:** Hilary Brown <campaigns@good.do>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 11 April 2018 3:36 PM  
**To:** NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris  
**Subject:** Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

To Those Sitting

The negative financial and environmental indications, lack of sustainability ie infertility of seeds and non ability to regenerate crops outside of corporate patented ownership, extenuating human and family farming harms ie community non viability in providing local food sources outside of industrial ownership, de natured food value and unproven safety, plus costs to human health of the saturation use of toxic chemicals, all indicate that only an indebted government controlled and sustained by a criminal corporate culture would even consider de regulating or even allowing GM technology into a country!!!

Yours sincerely, Hilary Brown Ringwood, Victoria, 3134, Australia

\_\_\_\_\_ This email was sent by Hilary Brown via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Hilary provided an email address (hilary.joy11@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Hilary Brown at hilary.joy11@gmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [www.dogooder.co](http://www.dogooder.co) To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: [www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html](http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html)

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

## NBT Consult Submissions

---

**From:** Chelsea Benton <campaigns@good.do>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 11 April 2018 4:27 PM  
**To:** NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris  
**Subject:** Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Genetic modification techniques pose unique risks and all new genetic modification techniques should be assessed for safety before being allowed in our food. They should also be labelled so we are fully informed. This includes gene editing, GM rootstock grafting, cisgenesis, intragenesis RNA interference and null segregants. CRISPR was only invented 5 years ago . Reviews commissioned by the Austrian and Norwegian governments concluded that not enough is known about the risks (e.g. off target effects) posed by new GM techniques such as CRISPR. They recommended that products derived from these techniques require comprehensive case-by-case risk assessments. Gene editing techniques cause DNA double strand breaks and can be used sequentially to make dramatic differences to DNA. They are also prone to additional unexpected mutations. The risks associated with these techniques warrant pre-market safety assessment and approval. RNA interference is a GM technique and can result in heritable genetic changes so must also be assessed for safety before being used in our food. GM plants, animals and microbes all pose unique risks so assessing the GM production process is appropriate.

Yours sincerely, Chelsea Benton

---

This email was sent by Chelsea Benton via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Chelsea provided an email address (chelseabenton7@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Chelsea Benton at chelseabenton7@gmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [www.dogooder.co](http://www.dogooder.co) To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: [www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html](http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html)

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

## NBT Consult Submissions

---

**From:** jane Mallick <campaigns@good.do>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 11 April 2018 6:15 PM  
**To:** NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris  
**Subject:** Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

I would like that any government I vote in to ensure that GM foods do not enter the Australian Market any further than they have. I would in fact like them to regulate to ensure that bio diversity, and organic farming is supported.

Yours sincerely, jane Mallick

\_\_\_\_\_ This email was sent by jane Mallick via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however jane provided an email address (athenajaneyoga@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to jane Mallick at athenajaneyoga@gmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [www.dogooder.co](http://www.dogooder.co) To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: [www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html](http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html)

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

## NBT Consult Submissions

---

**From:** James Anderson <campaigns@good.do>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 11 April 2018 7:00 PM  
**To:** NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris  
**Subject:** Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

I don't want to eat gmo,s let monsanto and people like bill gates feed that poison to their families and treat them like lab rats.

Yours sincerely, James Anderson

\_\_\_\_\_ This email was sent by James Anderson via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however James provided an email address (66.j.anderson@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to James Anderson at 66.j.anderson@gmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [www.dogooder.co](http://www.dogooder.co) To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: [www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html](http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html)

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

## NBT Consult Submissions

---

**From:** Janet Hyde <campaigns@good.do>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 11 April 2018 7:39 PM  
**To:** NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris  
**Subject:** Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Nothing has changed over the last few years to convince me that (a ) there is any real need for GM techniques. (b) that we need GM food to feed an already overpopulated world. © that ,somehow, we now know, what we do not know !

I do not want to eat GM food either knowingly or in ignorance. I do not want our naturally derived plant materials to ever be exposed to the results of man-made meddling of plant genes. I will not buy Australian made food or plants ever again if Australia allows GM techniques to be used, to be sure I am not exposed to GM genes.

Yours sincerely, Janet Hyde

\_\_\_\_\_ This email was sent by Janet Hyde via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Janet provided an email address (teoranga@actrix.co.nz) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Janet Hyde at teoranga@actrix.co.nz.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [www.dogooder.co](http://www.dogooder.co) To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: [www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html](http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html)

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

## NBT Consult Submissions

---

**From:** submissions  
**Sent:** Thursday, 12 April 2018 7:33 AM  
**To:** NBT Consult Submissions  
**Subject:** FW: Submission on new breeding technologies [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]

**From:** sally wylie [mailto:swylie521@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, 12 April 2018 12:15 AM  
**To:** submissions <submissions@foodstandards.gov.au>  
**Subject:** Submission on new breeding technologies

Thanks for the opportunity to comment.

As a consumer I would like to be reassured and confident that all processes are safe and that GM tolerance remains at zero for all food and animal products. To me food is an investment in my health and so I want it free from chemicals and GM modification. Consumers do not want Australia to deregulate a range of new genetic modification (GM) techniques in animals, plants and microbes. Most consumers would be shocked to hear the level of support given to these practices by FSANZ. Consumers are concerned about the danger of these modified or engineered products or processes entering our food chain and our environment. The lack of testing and absence of labelling makes the risks enormous and potentially catastrophic.

CRISPR was only invented 5 years ago. Reviews commissioned by the Austrian and Norwegian governments concluded that not enough is known about the risks (e.g. off target effects) posed by new GM techniques such as CRISPR. They recommended that products derived from these techniques require comprehensive case-by-case risk assessments.

GM plants, animals and microbes all pose unique risks so assessing the GM production process is appropriate and indeed essential.

Labelling should include gene editing, GM rootstock grafting, cisgenesis, intragenesis RNA interference and null segregants so that we consumers are kept fully informed. These are basic consumer rights.

The public continues to demonstrate their reluctance to eat GM food. The push for this food is coming from those who would exploit GM food for their own ends. No consumer has ever asked for these products.

The likelihood of continued contamination of organic crops is an ongoing concern. There should be no relaxation of regulations. There should be zero tolerance for any contamination. Rather increased regulations are needed regarding the growing of GM crops. There should be stronger regulations imposed on GM crop growers to prevent contamination of other growers' crops with compensation from the industry for farmers whose crops are contaminated in any way.

This is our food and we do not want it contaminated by Genetic modification.

Yours sincerely,

Sally Wylie

--

Sally Wylie  
501 Kevill Rd West  
Margaret River, 6285  
Tel: 97572940



## NBT Consult Submissions

---

**From:** Evonne Wylie <campaigns@good.do>  
**Sent:** Thursday, 12 April 2018 7:56 AM  
**To:** NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris  
**Subject:** Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

I think not labelling genetic modified food and seeds is totally disgusting. As a Mother of 4 little ones I am increasingly becoming reluctant to buy any food from an unknown source.

Genetic modification techniques pose unique risks and all new genetic modification techniques should be assessed for safety before being allowed in our food. They should also be labelled so we are fully informed. This includes gene editing, GM rootstock grafting, cisgenesis, intragenesis RNA interference and null segregants. CRISPR was only invented 5 years ago. Reviews commissioned by the Austrian and Norwegian governments concluded that not enough is known about the risks (e.g. off target effects) posed by new GM techniques such as CRISPR. They recommended that products derived from these techniques require comprehensive case-by-case risk assessments. Gene editing techniques cause DNA double strand breaks and can be used sequentially to make dramatic differences to DNA. They are also prone to additional unexpected mutations. The risks associated with these techniques warrant pre-market safety assessment and approval. RNA interference is a GM technique and can result in heritable

genetic changes so must also be assessed for safety before being used in our food. GM plants, animals and microbes all pose unique risks so assessing the GM production process is appropriate.

Well so thank you actually for saving us money, time out to go to the shops and forcing us to grow our own food.

Yours sincerely, Evonne Wylie

\_\_\_\_\_ This email was sent by Evonne Wylie via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Evonne provided an email address (evonne.wylie@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Evonne Wylie at evonne.wylie@gmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [www.dogooder.co](http://www.dogooder.co) To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: [www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html](http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html)

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

## NBT Consult Submissions

---

**From:** Jess Scarlett <campaigns@good.do>  
**Sent:** Thursday, 12 April 2018 8:07 AM  
**To:** NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris  
**Subject:** Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

I feel this is a breach of food security for the longevity of humankind. It is and owned controlled medium by Lachlan Murdoch and we are in trouble of destroying our own kind. To much research has been done on GMO products in grown food and the outcome has shown isdues by animals and mammals that eat this food.

Yours sincerely, Jess Scarlett Carrum, Victoria, 3197, Australia

\_\_\_\_\_ This email was sent by Jess Scarlett via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Jess provided an email address (jessscarlett8@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Jess Scarlett at jessscarlett8@gmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [www.dogooder.co](http://www.dogooder.co) To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: [www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html](http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html)

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

## NBT Consult Submissions

---

**From:** Helena Simon <campaigns@good.do>  
**Sent:** Thursday, 12 April 2018 10:27 AM  
**To:** NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris  
**Subject:** Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Dear Sir/Madam,

Please, do not allow into our food chain foods that are untested for safety and only on the say-so of the corporation making huge profits. Unless, of course, you do not mind undermining the health of our and future generations of this country.

Science is reasonably clear on genetic manipulations and gene insertions, even from viruses and bacteria, into human genome, otherwise why spend millions on human gene manipulation research? Food gene manipulation and its effects on human genes, as yet scientifically unknown and unstudied, are a very real possibility! This applies especially to newer gene modification technologies!

And, if in spite of everything and disregarding lack of scientific evidence for their safety, you do allow these foods into the country, the least you can do is make clear labeling of these products compulsory, so we can decide for ourselves whether to consume these products or not.

Yours sincerely, Helena Simon New South Wales, Australia

\_\_\_\_\_ This email was sent by Helena Simon via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Helena provided an email address (helenasimon@hotmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Helena Simon at helenasimon@hotmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [www.dogooder.co](http://www.dogooder.co) To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: [www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html](http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html)

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

## NBT Consult Submissions

---

**From:** Margaret Boswell <campaigns@good.do>  
**Sent:** Thursday, 12 April 2018 12:16 PM  
**To:** NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris  
**Subject:** Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Disagree with all use of GM and GE of plants, animals and microbes within our food chain. The risks associated with these techniques are yet to be fully assessed and the results are inconclusive in the long term effects on human and animal health and the environment.

Yours sincerely, Margaret Boswell

\_\_\_\_\_ This email was sent by Margaret Boswell via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Margaret provided an email address (margi@biodynamic.org.nz) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Margaret Boswell at margi@biodynamic.org.nz.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [www.dogooder.co](http://www.dogooder.co) To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: [www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html](http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html)

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.