

## NBT Consult Submissions

---

**From:** Vic Demchyshyn <campaigns@good.do>  
**Sent:** Sunday, 15 April 2018 6:09 PM  
**To:** NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris  
**Subject:** Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

I ask that FSANZ acts in accordance with its name and does maintain standards to safeguard the people of ANZ. It is not appropriate to assume that a relatively new innovation is safe without thorough testing by an independent body which does not have a conflict of interest with the GM industry. Otherwise it becomes evident that FSANZ is simply subservient to the GM industry. Please do not let this be another example of an erroneous introduction of something that can have a very negative impact on our environment just because sufficient due care/ research has not been undertaken.

Other points are also:

- Genetic modification techniques pose unique risks and all new genetic modification techniques should be assessed for safety before being allowed in our food. They should also be labelled so we are fully informed. This includes gene editing, GM rootstock grafting, cisgenesis, intragenesis RNA interference and null segregants.
- CRISPR was only invented 5 years ago. Reviews commissioned by the Austrian and Norwegian governments concluded that not enough is known about the risks (e.g. off target effects) posed by new GM techniques such as CRISPR. They recommended that products derived from these techniques require comprehensive case-by-case risk assessments.
- Gene editing techniques cause DNA double strand breaks and can be used sequentially to make dramatic differences to DNA. They are also prone to additional unexpected mutations. The risks associated with these techniques warrant pre-market safety assessment and approval.
- RNA interference is a GM technique and can result in heritable genetic changes so must also be assessed for safety before being used in our food.
- GM plants, animals and microbes all pose unique risks so assessing the GM production process is appropriate.

Yours sincerely, Vic Demchyshyn Cheltenham, Victoria, 3192, Australia

\_\_\_\_\_ This email was sent by Vic Demchyshyn via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Vic provided an email address (vicwdem@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Vic Demchyshyn at vicwdem@gmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [www.dogooder.co](http://www.dogooder.co) To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: [www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html](http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html)

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

## NBT Consult Submissions

---

**From:** Ruth Rendely <campaigns@good.do>  
**Sent:** Sunday, 15 April 2018 7:00 PM  
**To:** NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris  
**Subject:** Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

I am greatly opposed to allowing various private corporations to have unrestricted access to our food markets when no adequate research has been done on the safety of the new food products. Just because something looks similar to a natural food doesn't mean it is safe to eat. Gene editing techniques cause DNA double strand breaks and can be used to make dramatic differences to DNA. They are also prone to additional unexpected mutations. The risks associated with these techniques warrant pre-market safety assessment and approval.

Yours sincerely, Ruth Rendely Wentworth Falls, New South Wales, 2782, Australia

\_\_\_\_\_ This email was sent by Ruth Rendely via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Ruth provided an email address (ruthestar@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Ruth Rendely at ruthestar@gmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [www.dogooder.co](http://www.dogooder.co) To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: [www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html](http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html)

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

## NBT Consult Submissions

---

**From:** Susan Sowerby <campaigns@good.do>  
**Sent:** Sunday, 15 April 2018 11:37 PM  
**To:** NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris  
**Subject:** Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

To whom it concerns. Please note that as a resident of Australia I am extremely concerned about the approval of the multinational companies genetic food program being pushed through by unwitting Australian regulators when other nations show quite some concern about these 'New Plant Building Techniques' entering their country unregulated, with little testing simply because of a changed label. I remember the giant companies putting forward exactly the same statements about GMOs being "substantially similar" to natural food decades ago. As a citizen, I would urge FSANZ to take an extremely cautious and responsible stance on this and not put profit making companies interests ahead of the outcomes for Australians.

Yours sincerely, Susan Sowerby

\_\_\_\_\_ This email was sent by Susan Sowerby via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Susan provided an email address (sounja2003@yahoo.com.au) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Susan Sowerby at sounja2003@yahoo.com.au.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [www.dogooder.co](http://www.dogooder.co) To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: [www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html](http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html)

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

## NBT Consult Submissions

---

**From:** Allison Knox <campaigns@good.do>  
**Sent:** Sunday, 15 April 2018 11:58 PM  
**To:** NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris  
**Subject:** Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Genetic modification techniques pose unique risks and all new genetic modification techniques should be assessed for safety before being allowed in our food. They should also be labelled so we are fully informed. This includes gene editing, GM rootstock grafting, cisgenesis, intragenesis RNA interference and null segregants. CRISPR was only invented 5 years ago . Reviews commissioned by the Austrian and Norwegian governments concluded that not enough is known about the risks (e.g. off target effects) posed by new GM techniques such as CRISPR. They recommended that products derived from these techniques require comprehensive case-by-case risk assessments. Gene editing techniques cause DNA double strand breaks and can be used sequentially to make dramatic differences to DNA. They are also prone to additional unexpected mutations. The risks associated with these techniques warrant pre-market safety assessment and approval. RNA interference is a GM technique and can result in heritable genetic changes so must also be assessed for safety before being used in our food. GM plants, animals and microbes all pose unique risks so assessing the GM production process is appropriate.

Yours sincerely, Allison Knox

\_\_\_\_\_ This email was sent by Allison Knox via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Allison provided an email address (a-knox@hotmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Allison Knox at a-knox@hotmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [www.dogooder.co](http://www.dogooder.co) To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: [www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html](http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html)

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

## NBT Consult Submissions

---

**From:** Julie Moore <campaigns@good.do>  
**Sent:** Monday, 16 April 2018 12:29 AM  
**To:** NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris  
**Subject:** Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Dear Sir or Madam,

Australia is in an unique position of having very little contamination by genetically modified organisms.

All around the world, consumers are rightly worried that GM food may have adverse effects on human health and the environment.

Informed consumers want to be able to make a choice to purchase non GM food. Consumers have the right to be able to purchase non GM food if they want. Non GM foods now command a premium price and are highly valued by consumers. To allow the contamination of Australian agriculture in general with GM organisms would be a terrible mistake both from a failure of regulatory protection for consumers point of view, and commercially, as the commercial advantage of being a clean GM free country would be lost.

There are many concerns:

Genetic modification techniques pose unique risks and all new genetic modification techniques should be assessed for safety before being allowed in our food. They should also be labelled so we are fully informed. This includes gene editing, GM rootstock grafting, cisgenesis, intragenesis RNA interference and null segregants. CRISPR was only invented 5 years ago . Reviews commissioned by the Austrian and Norwegian governments concluded that not enough is known about the risks (e.g. off target effects) posed by new GM techniques such as CRISPR. They recommended that products derived from these techniques require comprehensive case-by-case risk assessments. Gene editing techniques cause DNA double strand breaks and can be used sequentially to make dramatic differences to DNA. They are also prone to additional unexpected mutations. The risks associated with these techniques warrant pre-market safety assessment and approval. RNA interference is a GM technique and can result in heritable genetic changes so must also be assessed for safety before being used in our food. GM plants, animals and microbes all pose unique risks so assessing the GM production process is appropriate.

I don't trust GM processes, I don't want to purchase GM foods or products, and I believe I have the right to be protected from inadvertent consumption.

UNSAFE UNTIL PROVEN SAFE.

TO PROVE SAFE WILL TAKE AT LEAST TWO HUMAN LIFETIMES.

Yours sincerely, Julie Moore

---

This email was sent by Julie Moore via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Julie provided an email address (andromeda@iinet.net.au) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Julie Moore at andromeda@iinet.net.au.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [www.dogooder.co](http://www.dogooder.co) To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit:  
[www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html](http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html)

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

## NBT Consult Submissions

---

**From:** Zdenko Pokorny <campaigns@good.do>  
**Sent:** Monday, 16 April 2018 8:01 AM  
**To:** NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris  
**Subject:** Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Dear decision makers,

I am pleading on you not to allow the new genetic modification (GM) techniques in animals, plants and microbes, to be enter our food chain without labelling as it is probably seriously dangerous for the population and our kids, not mentioning spreading the GM organisms in the nature. WE HAVE THE RIGHT TO DECIDE WHAT TO BUY !!!! PLEASE LABEL !!! Thank you.

Yours sincerely, Zdenko Pokorny Caloundra, Queensland, 4551, Australia

\_\_\_\_\_ This email was sent by Zdenko Pokorny via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Zdenko provided an email address (zdenko.pokorny@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Zdenko Pokorny at zdenko.pokorny@gmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [www.dogooder.co](http://www.dogooder.co) To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: [www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html](http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html)

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

## NBT Consult Submissions

---

**From:** Lynette Purcell <campaigns@good.do>  
**Sent:** Monday, 16 April 2018 8:56 AM  
**To:** NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris  
**Subject:** Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

GM technology has not been adequately tested and brings risks that could be irreversible. Is our health and environment worth risking.? We ignore our duty of care to our children's health and environment if we proceed without exhaustive testing. To be true to Science this testing needs more time and open minds to be proven beyond doubt. To be ethical Science must come before Profit.

Yours sincerely, Lynette Purcell Newport, New South Wales, 2106, Australia

\_\_\_\_\_ This email was sent by Lynette Purcell via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Lynette provided an email address (mumnette@hotmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Lynette Purcell at mumnette@hotmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [www.dogooder.co](http://www.dogooder.co) To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: [www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html](http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html)

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.



## NBT Consult Submissions

---

**From:** Susan Pettengell <campaigns@good.do>  
**Sent:** Monday, 16 April 2018 10:13 AM  
**To:** NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris  
**Subject:** Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

I object to the used of products or methods of any kind being released without being tested and extensively researched. We should also be looking at the research completed by other countries to see what findings they have made. We are not on this planet on our own, and what we do affects other countries as well. Also note that if other countries refuse to allow modified products into their borders we will not have trading partners to off load these products.

PLEASE don't allow this project to continue. We are playing with things we should leave well alone.

Yours sincerely, Susan Pettengell Kenwick, Western Australia, 6107, Australia

\_\_\_\_\_ This email was sent by Susan Pettengell via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Susan provided an email address (susanpettengell@hotmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Susan Pettengell at susanpettengell@hotmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [www.dogooder.co](http://www.dogooder.co) To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: [www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html](http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html)

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

## NBT Consult Submissions

---

**From:** James Buckman <campaigns@good.do>  
**Sent:** Monday, 16 April 2018 2:45 PM  
**To:** NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris  
**Subject:** Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

The long term health effects of gm foods remain unproven. Until they are, Gm foods should be rigorously tested and their use clearly identified on packaging. The general public have a basic right to know what they are buying and consuming and it is the governments job to protect that right.

Yours sincerely, James Buckman Enmore, New South Wales, 2042, Australia

\_\_\_\_\_ This email was sent by James Buckman via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however James provided an email address (temporalis@optusnet.com.au) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to James Buckman at temporalis@optusnet.com.au.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [www.dogooder.co](http://www.dogooder.co) To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: [www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html](http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html)

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

## NBT Consult Submissions

---

**From:** Trish Hyndman <campaigns@good.do>  
**Sent:** Monday, 16 April 2018 2:48 PM  
**To:** NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris  
**Subject:** Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

I feel very strongly about the importance of clean eating for myself but even more importantly for my growing son. And for the planet and the wider population, absolutely, as well. It angers me greatly to learn that risks are being taken with our food chain. I implore you to keep our food and environment GM free.

Yours sincerely, Trish Hyndman Collaroy Plateau, New South Wales, 2097, Australia

\_\_\_\_\_ This email was sent by Trish Hyndman via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Trish provided an email address (trishhyndman@yahoo.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Trish Hyndman at trishhyndman@yahoo.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [www.dogooder.co](http://www.dogooder.co) To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: [www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html](http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html)

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

## NBT Consult Submissions

---

**From:** Jacqui Dimitriadis <campaigns@good.do>  
**Sent:** Monday, 16 April 2018 5:13 PM  
**To:** NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris  
**Subject:** Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

To whom this may concern,

I strongly disagree with the proposed introduction of GM techniques to food production in Australia. These modifications have not been tested and deemed safe. I do not wish my family and myself to act as guinea pigs for the sake of someone else's profit.

It should be clear that this proposal is unadvisable and hasty when countries such as Austria and Norway are acting cautiously and stating there is insufficient evidence of their safety.

Australia is historically cautious about importing foreign foods and biological material into our country, yet in this case authorities seem to not understand that implementation of these techniques comes with the enormous risk of creating harmful mutations through genetic modification of our food supply, not to mention changes as a consequence to their interaction with living species (insects, bird animals etc).

Also, in a country like Australia where fresh food is plentiful where is the need to implement such changes in growing techniques?

Where there is any unknown level of risk there must be choice! All substances and consumable items containing GMO ingredients MUST be clearly labelled. I demand the right to choose food for my family which has undergone minimal intervention and is free from any genetic modification.

Yours sincerely, Jacqui Dimitriadis

\_\_\_\_\_ This email was sent by Jacqui Dimitriadis via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Jacqui provided an email address (jacqui.dimitriadis@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Jacqui Dimitriadis at jacqui.dimitriadis@gmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [www.dogooder.co](http://www.dogooder.co) To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: [www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html](http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html)

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

## NBT Consult Submissions

---

**From:** Nina Stick <campaigns@good.do>  
**Sent:** Monday, 16 April 2018 6:11 PM  
**To:** NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris  
**Subject:** Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Hello

It is disturbing to say the least that more genetically modified organisms are being considered to be allowed to enter foodchains and who knows where else from there. This cannot be allowed to happen when no one is sure of the risks posed by the release of these GM organisms, and especially when not regulated! Further reasons below:

Genetic modification techniques pose unique risks and all new genetic modification techniques should be assessed for safety before being allowed in our food. They should also be labelled so we are fully informed. This includes gene editing, GM rootstock grafting, cisgenesis, intragenesis RNA interference and null segregants. CRISPR was only invented 5 years ago . Reviews commissioned by the Austrian and Norwegian governments concluded that not enough is known about the risks (e.g. off target effects) posed by new GM techniques such as CRISPR. They recommended that products derived from these techniques require comprehensive case-by-case risk assessments. Gene editing techniques cause DNA double strand breaks and can be used sequentially to make dramatic differences to DNA. They are also prone to additional unexpected mutations. The risks associated with these techniques warrant pre-market safety assessment and approval. RNA interference is a GM technique and can result in heritable genetic changes so must also be assessed for safety before being used in our food. GM plants, animals and microbes all pose unique risks so assessing the GM production process is appropriate.

Yours sincerely, Nina Stick

\_\_\_\_\_ This email was sent by Nina Stick via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Nina provided an email address (ninaozzz@yahoo.com.au) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Nina Stick at ninaozzz@yahoo.com.au.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [www.dogooder.co](http://www.dogooder.co) To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: [www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html](http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html)

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

## NBT Consult Submissions

---

**From:** Ric Gleadell <campaigns@good.do>  
**Sent:** Monday, 16 April 2018 8:50 PM  
**To:** NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris  
**Subject:** Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Dear FSANZ,

I write to you concerning the proposed deregulation of new genetic modification techniques in plants, animals & microbes.

Clearly there is a strong need for rigorous pre market safety assessments.

Gene editing techniques cause double strand breaks to DNA and can be used sequentially to make huge differences to DNA. There are also additional unexpected mutations. The risks associated with these techniques demand pre-market safety assessment and approval.

CRISPR is a new invention, only 5 years old . The Austrian and Norwegian governments commissioned reviews and concluded that not enough is known about the risks (e.g. off target effects) posed by new GM techniques such as CRISPR. Their recommendations were; products derived from these techniques require comprehensive case-by-case risk assessments.

RNA interference can result in genetic changes that are HERITABLE so must also be assessed for safety before being used in our food.

GM plants, animals and microbes all pose unique risks. Assessing the production process of GM is appropriate.

Genetic modification techniques pose unique risks and all new genetic modification techniques should be ASSESSED FOR SAFETY before being allowed in our food. They should also be LABELLED so we are fully informed. This includes gene editing, GM rootstock grafting, cisgenesis, intragenesis RNA interference and null segregants.

Yours sincerely, Ric Gleadell

\_\_\_\_\_ This email was sent by Ric Gleadell via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Ric provided an email address (anbara@live.com.au) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Ric Gleadell at anbara@live.com.au.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [www.dogooder.co](http://www.dogooder.co) To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: [www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html](http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html)

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

## NBT Consult Submissions

---

**From:** Christopher Wells <campaigns@good.do>  
**Sent:** Monday, 16 April 2018 10:16 PM  
**To:** NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris  
**Subject:** Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

I am strongly opposed to so-called new breeding techniques, since I believe they pose unacceptable threats to public health, and to the environment.

Genetic modification of any sort signifies an experiment without parallel in historical experience. We do not have the knowledge, or wisdom, to mess around with the building block of life without putting at risk the whole process of natural creation and growth.

In such a case, the community becomes a living laboratory, subject to all the potential dangers associated with new and more or less untried food technologies.

The Precautionary Principle should come into play here: we should proceed with extreme care, and favour a conservative and alert approach to regulation and labelling.

A green light, once given, means no turning back; no means of averting unforeseen .danger.

I hope and pray that the precautionary principle governs any thinking on this matter, and that common sense and justifiable caution prevail – without being compromised by the many vested – and frankly, unethical – commercial and political interests and pressures.

Yours sincerely, Christopher Wells Normanville, South Australia, 5204, Australia

\_\_\_\_\_ This email was sent by Christopher Wells via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Christopher provided an email address (christopher.wells@bigpond.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Christopher Wells at christopher.wells@bigpond.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [www.dogooder.co](http://www.dogooder.co) To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: [www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html](http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html)

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

## NBT Consult Submissions

---

**From:** John Waters <campaigns@good.do>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, 17 April 2018 8:04 AM  
**To:** NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris  
**Subject:** Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Please test all GMO products. Yours sincerely, John Waters

\_\_\_\_\_ This email was sent by John Waters via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however John provided an email address (livingvillages@live.com.au) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to John Waters at livingvillages@live.com.au.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [www.dogooder.co](http://www.dogooder.co) To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: [www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html](http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html)

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.



## NBT Consult Submissions

---

**From:** Carina C <campaigns@good.do>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, 17 April 2018 12:08 PM  
**To:** NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris  
**Subject:** Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Genetic modification techniques pose unique risks and all new genetic modification techniques should be assessed for safety before being allowed in our food. They should also be labelled so we are fully informed. This includes gene editing, GM rootstock grafting, cisgenesis, intragenesis RNA interference and null segregants.

CRISPR was only invented 5 years ago . Reviews commissioned by the Austrian and Norwegian governments concluded that not enough is known about the risks (e.g. off target effects) posed by new GM techniques such as CRISPR. They recommended that products derived from these techniques require comprehensive case-by-case risk assessments.

Gene editing techniques cause DNA double strand breaks and can be used sequentially to make dramatic differences to DNA. They are also prone to additional unexpected mutations. The risks associated with these techniques warrant pre-market safety assessment and approval. RNA interference is a GM technique and can result in heritable genetic changes so must also be assessed for safety before being used in our food.

GM plants, animals and microbes all pose unique risks so assessing the GM production process is appropriate.

Yours sincerely, Carina C

\_\_\_\_\_ This email was sent by Carina C via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Carina provided an email address (carina@canales.com.au) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Carina C at carina@canales.com.au.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [www.dogooder.co](http://www.dogooder.co) To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: [www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html](http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html)

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

## NBT Consult Submissions

---

**From:** ranjana whall <campaigns@good.do>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, 17 April 2018 6:23 PM  
**To:** NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris  
**Subject:** Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

To whom it may concern

I wish to highlight the fact that CRISPR was only invented 5 years ago . Reviews commissioned by the Austrian and Norwegian governments concluded that not enough is known about the risks (e.g. off target effects) posed by new GM techniques such as CRISPR. They recommended that products derived from these techniques require comprehensive case-by-case risk assessments.risks of allowing GM foods. I believe Genetic modification techniques pose unique risks and all new genetic modification techniques should be assessed for safety before being allowed in our food. They should also be labelled so we are fully informed. This includes gene editing, GM rootstock grafting, cisgenesis, intragenesis RNA interference and null segregants.

Yours sincerely, ranjana whall Wilsons Creek, New South Wales, 2482, Australia

\_\_\_\_\_ This email was sent by ranjana whall via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however ranjana provided an email address (ranjana\_w@hotmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to ranjana whall at ranjana\_w@hotmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [www.dogooder.co](http://www.dogooder.co) To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: [www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html](http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html)

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

## NBT Consult Submissions

---

**From:** Salome Argyropoulos <campaigns@good.do>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, 17 April 2018 6:48 PM  
**To:** NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris  
**Subject:** Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

GM is a Godless abomination. How can billions of years of natural evolution be improved upon...only mad scientists might think so! All genetic modifications must be assessed for safety. It's only common sense to do so. What's the world coming to?

\_\_\_\_\_ This email was sent by Salome Argyropoulos via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Salome provided an email address (salomesathyasai@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Salome Argyropoulos at salomesathyasai@gmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [www.dogooder.co](http://www.dogooder.co) To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: [www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html](http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html)

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

## NBT Consult Submissions

---

**From:** David Haritos <campaigns@good.do>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, 17 April 2018 7:31 PM  
**To:** NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris  
**Subject:** Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

To whom it may concern at FSANZ,

I write to you today to beg you, PLEASE DO NOT DEREGULATE THESE TECHNIQUES!!!

This is literally a case where once you let the cat out of the bag, it will be impossible to put it back.

Do not introduce these foods into our food chain until there is proof that they are 100% SAFE!

It would be the definition of insanity to let Australians be GUINEA PIGS, please don't be influenced by the industry's lies and bullshit.

How would you feel if you start feeding these foods to your family, your children, your grandchildren, your friends, only to find out in 5 or 10 years time that they in fact have been making them sick?

Please, just do the right thing.

Yours sincerely, David Haritos McCrae, Victoria, 3938, Australia

\_\_\_\_\_ This email was sent by David Haritos via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however David provided an email address (david.haritos@hotmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to David Haritos at david.haritos@hotmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [www.dogooder.co](http://www.dogooder.co) To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: [www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html](http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html)

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

## NBT Consult Submissions

---

**From:** Ian Onley <campaigns@good.do>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, 17 April 2018 8:25 PM  
**To:** NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris  
**Subject:** Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

I find it disturbing that ANZFA is considering allowing the use of untested techniques to produce food that would be consumed by humans and animals.

I don't believe the claims of substantial equivalence in support of original GM technology should have been accepted by ANZFA. And at the very least all GM products should be labelled as such. Some parts may be equivalent, its the parts that aren't that raise concerns and without proper independent testing the GM industry is carrying out an uncontrolled experiment on the human population, with the blessing of ANZFA.

Thalidomide was released on an unsuspecting public with disastrous results. I strongly suspect GM technologies, without proper scrutiny, will have similarly sinister results. Many scientists have raised alarm concerning these new techniques and should be taken seriously.

Claims from the GM industry have proven incorrect. For instance, applications of Glyphosate to food crops has increased, due to weed resistance, not decreased. GM systems require the manufacture of ever more weedicides and pesticides that are destroying soils ecologies, which are essential to its health and nutrition of foods grown thereon. I point to research conducted that shows a serious drop in food nutrition over the last eighty years. A spiral of ever more deadly chemicals will be needed to maintain production under this system. The impact of this on soils, waterways and wildlife are proving to be disastrous, including fishing industries.

My question to ANZFA would be, Is there a method of putting the genie back in the bottle if this experiment goes horribly wrong or will ANZFA be content to keep on approving ever more deadly attacks on natural ecosystems that have sustained us.

It is my wish that all new and existing GM technologies be rigorously and independently assessed before they are allowed to go any further.

I also believe that it is the scientific process of peer revue of all testing methods that should be engaged in this assessment. Not just accepting industry backed scientists assurances.

Yours sincerely, Ian Onley

---

This email was sent by Ian Onley via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Ian provided an email address (iano@skymesh.com.au) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Ian Onley at [iano@skymesh.com.au](mailto:iano@skymesh.com.au).

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [www.dogooder.co](http://www.dogooder.co) To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: [www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html](http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html)

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.