

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Hazel Ross <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Tuesday, 3 April 2018 11:54 AM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

GM plants, animals and microbes all pose unique risks so assessing the GM production process is appropriate.

As consumers it is our decision what we choose to put in our bodies, we need clear food origin and process labels to be able to make this decision.

Yours sincerely, Hazel Ross

_____ This email was sent by Hazel Ross via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Hazel provided an email address (hazelross92@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Hazel Ross at hazelross92@gmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: coral waight <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Tuesday, 3 April 2018 12:10 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Please read the email tips above for some points you could include in your own comments.

I'm not comfortable with these new genetic techniques entering our food chains without comprehensive case by case risk assessment.

I also want full disclosure re GM on packaging. We have a right to know what we're eating and feeding our children.

Yours sincerely, Coral Waight.

_____ This email was sent by coral waight via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however coral provided an email address (coralpw@hotmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to coral waight at coralpw@hotmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Carlos Andrade <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Tuesday, 3 April 2018 1:56 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Dear FSANZ and GM Decision-Makers:

All new genetic-modification-techniques must be comprehensively tested for safety in relation to human health and the environment before being approved by authorities. This includes new GM techniques such as CRISPR, gene editing, GM rootstock grafting, cisgenesis, intragenesis RNA interference and null segregants. Products derived from these techniques must also be clearly labelled if they are given approval. If new genetic-modification-techniques such as these are not comprehensively tested for safety before approval, and not required to be clearly labelled, then it would seem that as a nation we are courting disaster! It is not in Australia's national interest to take a cavalier attitude in regard to new GM technology when the potential for catastrophe is immense. Thorough prior safety-testing and clear labeling is vital!

Yours sincerely, Carlos Andrade Lismore, New South Wales, 2480, Australia

_____ This email was sent by Carlos Andrade via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Carlos provided an email address (sendcarlosanemail@yahoo.com.au) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Carlos Andrade at sendcarlosanemail@yahoo.com.au.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Robert Delvecchio <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Tuesday, 3 April 2018 4:35 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

There needs to be rigorous testing of products that have been genetically modified.

It should be non-negotiable that products that contain GM need to be labelled so that consumers can make a choice. If it is safe then it is up to the producers to prove it and to then advertise that to us. But the fact remains that products should be labelled so that we can make informed choices.

Just because it looks like an apple and tastes like an apple does mean that it is safe to eat.

If it has been modified then it needs to be tested.

Let's not find out in 20 years time that we stuffed up by allowing GM crops to go untested.

Yours sincerely, Robert Delvecchio Urgan, Queensland, 4655, Australia

_____ This email was sent by Robert Delvecchio via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Robert provided an email address (cheekyboyrob@outlook.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Robert Delvecchio at cheekyboyrob@outlook.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Cherie Merritt <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Tuesday, 3 April 2018 5:13 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

To whom it may concern,

Why are you deregulating the assessment of GM techniques? And why is this not common knowledge? As a consumer I deserve the right to know where and how the food I acquire is grown.

If you deregulate assessment of GM techniques then you open the flood gates for untested and unsafe foods.

At a time where so much is now known about the importance of a healthy and diverse microbiome how can you justify untested food to enter the market? Where is your evidence that Genetically modified food has no long term repercussions?

Yours sincerely, Cherie Merritt Mango Hill, Queensland, 4509, Australia

_____ This email was sent by Cherie Merritt via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Cherie provided an email address (cheriemerritt@hotmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Cherie Merritt at cheriemerritt@hotmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Karl Williams <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Tuesday, 3 April 2018 10:37 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

I wish to object in the strongest terms to any proposal to exclude GM foods from full disclosure in Australian food labelling standards.

How can a market operate efficiently without buyer information, especially given the risks to human health involved in GM?

Before any change to GM regulations, a full study of possible adverse effects must be undertaken, with the 'precautionary principle' applied wherever any doubt exists. Given the possibility of significant time periods between ingestion of GM foods and the resultant health outcomes, the burden of proof must lay with GM proponents before GM techniques are allowed in human foodstuffs.

Yours faithfully, Karl Williams Tecoma, Victoria, 3160, Australia

_____ This email was sent by Karl Williams via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Karl provided an email address (karlwilliams99@hotmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Karl Williams at karlwilliams99@hotmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Sam Long <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Wednesday, 4 April 2018 12:07 AM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Dear Decision Makers,

I have recently learned of Australia's intention to become the first country in the world to deregulate a range of new genetic modification techniques in animals, plants and microbes that will be released into our environment and food chain. This would be done with neither adequate testing, nor requiring labelling, in relation to food products. This raises serious concerns for me which I outline below.

Genetic modification techniques pose unique risks and all new genetic modification techniques should be assessed for safety before being allowed in our food. They should also be labelled so we are fully informed. This includes gene editing, GM rootstock grafting, cisgenesis, intragenesis RNA interference and null segregants.

CRISPR was only invented 5 years ago . Reviews commissioned by the Austrian and Norwegian governments concluded that not enough is known about the risks (e.g. off target effects) posed by new GM techniques such as CRISPR. They recommended that products derived from these techniques require comprehensive case-by-case risk assessments.

Gene editing techniques cause DNA double strand breaks and can be used sequentially to make dramatic differences to DNA. They are also prone to additional unexpected mutations. The risks associated with these techniques warrant pre-market safety assessment and approval.

RNA interference is a GM technique and can result in heritable genetic changes so must also be assessed for safety before being used in our food. GM plants, animals and microbes all pose unique risks so assessing the GM production process is appropriate.

I urge you to reconsider your position on deregulation, or at minimum, put it to the people!

Yours sincerely, Sam Long

This email was sent by Sam Long via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Sam provided an email address (samanpha@hotmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Sam Long at samanpha@hotmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Lorna Haynes <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Wednesday, 4 April 2018 5:03 AM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Organisms genetically engineered (GE) by the so called “Newer Breeding Techniques” (NBTs), whether they be cisgenic or transgenic, are still genetically engineered and most of the recognised risks of GMO remain. No matter how precisely you may be able to eliminate, modify or insert a gene, the fact remains that the consequences of these alterations are not predictable and, in fact, some of the new techniques themselves pose additional risks.

It is now known from epigenetics that gene expression depends on a highly complex system of interactions involving, not just the gene, but also the epigenome and environment. With our current state of knowledge, we cannot predict the immediate, let alone possible long term unintended impacts of new genetic engineering techniques on the organism and ecosystem of which it is part. These impacts may vary in unknown ways over the lifespan of an organism. Therefore, rather than seeking to deregulate and accelerate the release of these diversely genetically engineered organisms, we should, in the light of our new but partial understanding of epigenetic phenomena, be questioning whether our present legislation goes far enough to protect human health and ecosystems and if, therefore, GMO 's already released into the environment pose threats which have not previously been recognised and so need to be evaluated.

Yours sincerely, Lorna Haynes B.Sc, M.S, M.SC.

_____ This email was sent by Lorna Haynes via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Lorna provided an email address (lornahaynes@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Lorna Haynes at lornahaynes@gmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Marianne Macdonald <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Wednesday, 4 April 2018 6:26 AM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission on the Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

I am very concerned at the suggested changes proposed on genetic modification.

Genetic modification techniques pose unique risks and all new genetic modification techniques should be assessed for safety before being allowed in our food.

There are also huge welfare problems with creating genetically modified animals.

Genetically modified organisms should also be clearly labelled so we are fully informed. This includes gene editing, GM rootstock grafting, cisgenesis, intragenesis RNA interference and null segregants.

CRISPR was only invented 5 years ago. Reviews commissioned by the Austrian and Norwegian governments concluded that not enough is known about the risks (e.g. off-target effects) posed by new GM techniques such as CRISPR. They recommended that products derived from these techniques require comprehensive case-by-case risk assessments. Gene editing techniques cause DNA double-strand breaks and can be used sequentially to make dramatic differences to DNA. They are also prone to additional unexpected mutations. The risks associated with these techniques warrant pre-market safety assessment and approval.

RNA interference is a GM technique and can result in heritable genetic changes so must also be assessed for safety before being used in our food.

GM plants, animals and microbes all pose unique risks so assessing the GM production process is appropriate.

Yours sincerely, Marianne Macdonald

_____ This email was sent by Marianne Macdonald via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Marianne provided an email address (info@ybsore.co.nz) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Marianne Macdonald at info@ybsore.co.nz.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Linda Andrews <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Wednesday, 4 April 2018 12:42 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Genetic modification techniques pose unique risks and all new breeding techniques should be assessed for safety before being allowed in our food.

In addition, it is essential to label products that contain genetic modification and all new breeding techniques so that the public is fully informed about the inclusion of these elements in our food.

GM plants, animals and microbes all pose unique risks so assessing the GM production process is appropriate.

Yours sincerely, Linda Andrews Margaret River, Western Australia, 6285, Australia

_____ This email was sent by Linda Andrews via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Linda provided an email address (c.l.andrews963@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Linda Andrews at c.l.andrews963@gmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Karen Armitage <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Wednesday, 4 April 2018 5:19 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

I believe it is the right of all citizens to be able to make an informed choice for or against GM foods.

If this technology is not fully regulated by a third party, independent body there is the danger that biotech companies will not be held accountable for the produce & products they bring to market.

Reviews commissioned by the Austrian and Norwegian governments concluded that not enough is known about the risks these new GM techniques pose. There should be a full and open reviews into the GM techniques as they could pose a unique threat if not assessed and labeled.

Yours sincerely, Karen Armitage

_____ This email was sent by Karen Armitage via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Karen provided an email address (karenlearmitage@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Karen Armitage at karenlearmitage@gmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: stephen scala <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Wednesday, 4 April 2018 6:48 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Genetic modification techniques pose unique risks and all new genetic modification techniques should be assessed for safety before being allowed in our food. They should also be labelled so we are fully informed. This includes gene editing, GM rootstock grafting, cisgenesis, intragenesis RNA interference and null segregants. Yours sincerely, stephen scala

_____ This email was sent by stephen scala via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however stephen provided an email address (sjascala@hotmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to stephen scala at sjascala@hotmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Kerry Cochrane <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Wednesday, 4 April 2018 8:03 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

The Australian Institute of Ecological Agriculture calls on the government to put precaution and transparency front and centre of decisions regarding gene technology. We believe that tampering with gene structure requires thoughtful reflection and indeed it needs to be said that should there be contamination of the natural world through the hasty deregulation of gene technology including techniques such as CRISPR then the cost to the nation could be enormous.

The Institute maintains that history has demonstrated that humans are capable of making mistakes and that should this happen in the realm of gene technology then the outcomes could be detrimental to humans and the natural world.

The Institute believes the government should follow the example established by New Zealand and regulate organisms derived from techniques such as CRISPR and GMOs.

Yours sincerely

Kerry Cochrane President, Australian Institute of Ecological Agriculture 35 KITE ST Orange 280

_____ This email was sent by Kerry Cochrane via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Kerry provided an email address (kcochrane5@bigpond.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Kerry Cochrane at kcochrane5@bigpond.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Janet Mayer <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Wednesday, 4 April 2018 8:25 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

it will become more and more apparent that the countries with no or few GM/GE crops will have their produce highly sort after and be paid the highest prices for their food.

Gene editing techniques can be prone to unexpected mutations. There is no way to know of or predict such changes and what may result from them.

We may be opening a Pandora's Box from which there could be no way back.

Yours sincerely, Janet Mayer

_____ This email was sent by Janet Mayer via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Janet provided an email address (janetmayer@bigpond.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Janet Mayer at janetmayer@bigpond.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Corinne Coombs <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Wednesday, 4 April 2018 9:39 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

I don't feel comfortable with any of the information that has been provided on any GMO food produced so far. I feel that adequate testing has not been completed to the satisfaction of lots of the scientific world. But worse it seems to be all about money. Since your revenue to operate your organisation depends on the application fees from these evil corporations.

I don't believe there is a single benefit to GMO food except for corporations and their profit. Nothing more. It's pure and simple greed.

Yours sincerely, Corinne Coombs Franklin, Tasmania, 7113, Australia

_____ This email was sent by Corinne Coombs via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Corinne provided an email address (coombscorinne@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Corinne Coombs at coombscorinne@gmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Paul Owens <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Wednesday, 4 April 2018 11:16 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Thank You for the opportunity to comment on the decision you are about to make. A very important decision that will surely impact on the future lives and well being of many living organisms, including mankind. Scientists playing with genes is a good dream that could easily turn into a nightmare. I am not against any scientific endeavour, however who and what are the test dummies for all these fantastic new discoveries. My main concern with the decision you are about to make is what you are releasing on the general public is completely irresponsible without proper 3 generational testing. You must do multiple generation tests when you play with genomes. I also cant understand why this has become such a big issue. The general public have no idea what the consequences will be on their offspring. It is sad most of the testing is done by the same companies and scientists who invented and patented these ideas. Once again thank you for the opportunity to comment Yours sincerely, Paul Owens

_____ This email was sent by Paul Owens via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Paul provided an email address (giddyup@westnet.com.au) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Paul Owens at giddyup@westnet.com.au.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Richard Davies <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Thursday, 5 April 2018 1:18 AM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Please do not allow the deregulation of any GM techniques.

Each individual use of any GM should be assessed for its risks. All GMOs present risks of dangerous unexpected characteristics.

All products obtained by the use of GM techniques should be labelled.

Yours sincerely, Richard Davies

_____ This email was sent by Richard Davies via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Richard provided an email address (richard@ricwonder.plus.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Richard Davies at richard@ricwonder.plus.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Jennifer Castledine <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Thursday, 5 April 2018 6:45 AM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Bio technology has not been thoroughly tested. Results that are released are financed by the companies producing these products. Releasing these products will be a disaster for our economy as more advanced countries will not want to buy our contaminated products. These products also pose a risk to the health of our children. The risk is unacceptable.

Yours sincerely, Jennifer Castledine Glenroy, Victoria, 3046, Australia

_____ This email was sent by Jennifer Castledine via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Jennifer provided an email address (jcastled@yahoo.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Jennifer Castledine at jcastled@yahoo.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Karri Cox <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Thursday, 5 April 2018 8:37 AM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

As an Australian citizen I am calling on Food Standards to protect the inalienable right of all Australians to safe food.

Substances that are allowed as consumable should not pose risks to our health. Genetic modification techniques pose unique risks. Safety should be our priority.

Testing and proper, independent assessment for safety should be rigorous before any approval for any of the GM-derived substances, including foods subjected to GM technology such as RNA interference, GM rootstock grafting, gene editing, cisgenesis, intragenesis and null segregants.

Clearly the risks are great, for instance, we know that gene editing techniques cause DNA double strand breaks and can be used sequentially to make dramatic differences to DNA. They are also prone to additional unexpected mutations.

RNA interference is a GM technique and can result in heritable genetic changes.

GM plants, animals and microbes all pose unique risks so assessing the GM production process is vital.

We do not want a scenario where we look back and ponder in despair at the destruction caused by lack of risk assessment.

We do not want a scenario where short-term gain was valued over long-term vision and values that require proper risk assessment.

There is a lot at stake here and our children's children are the stake holders. We need to stand up for their future. Please help us do that.

Yours sincerely, Karri Cox

This email was sent by Karri Cox via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Karri provided an email address (9omov6kavk9s@opayq.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Karri Cox at 9omov6kavk9s@opayq.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Ineke de Graaf <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Thursday, 5 April 2018 9:37 AM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

We do not know the full extent of the effects of GM products on humans. Hence I would insist on labelling all GM foods so that we can make educated choices about the foods we eat. This includes gene editing, GM rootstock grafting, cisgenesis, intragenesis RNA interference and null segregants. CRISPR techniques have not been satisfactorily tested and recommendations have been put forward both in Australia and Norway for further testing for risk assessment. We do not know the full effects of DNA alterations caused by GM on people, but DNA strands are changed. Risk of cancer and other autoimmune diseases may therefore be greatly increased placing financial and health burdens on people and government alike. The risks associated with these techniques warrant pre-market safety assessment and approval. RNA interference is a GM technique and can result in heritable genetic changes so must also be assessed for safety before being used in our food. GM plants, animals and microbes all pose unique risks so assessing the GM production process is appropriate.

Yours sincerely, Ineke de Graaf

_____ This email was sent by Ineke de Graaf via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Ineke provided an email address (inekedg@outlook.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Ineke de Graaf at inekedg@outlook.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.